

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/880,740	RITCHE, SCOTT D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas Duong	2145

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thomas Duong.

(3) _____.

(2) Peter Meza, Reg. No. 32,920.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JASON CARDONE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong talked to Mr.Mexa, the attorney of record at Hogan & Hartson LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Mr.Meza said that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.